

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,523		02/18/2004	Mani Sundaram	20030128-CIP	2442	
42716	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER		
MAINE	& ASMUS			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
P. O. BO	X 3445					
NASHUA	A, NH 0306	51		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			OP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/781,523	SUNDARAM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  (HS from the mailing date of this communic  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	February 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	÷
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/06.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/781,523

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faska '191 in view of Martin '358.

Faska teaches all the structure claimed except the "waffle grating" and was published more than one year prior to the instant CIP application. Therefore Faska is a "102(b)" type reference for the "new matter" of the CIP and may be properly used in a 103 type rejection. The "waffle grating" is not a patentable improvement as Martin showed that waffle gratings were advantageous in quantum well focal plane arrays. See column 8 lines 3-23.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Martin '358.

Martin teaches a QWIP FPA with waffle grating. Claims 1,5-11,14-17,19 and 20 are anticipated as Martin teaches InGaAs/AlGaAs material (col.5) and a "rotated" waffle grating and multi-color detection. Claims 2-4,13,18 are at least obvious as one of ordinary skill in the art understands "waffle grating" structure and "rotation" and would optimize the rotation of the grating to optimize sensitivity. Accordingly, an orientation of "about 20-70 degrees" would be considered an obvious optimization of waffle grating rotation.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,523

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1,5-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mitra '564

Mitra shows QWIP multicolor detectors with waffle type gratings in figures 5,6,10-12. Claims 1,5-12,14-17 are anticipated or at least obvious depending on one's interpretation of the process or functional language in the claims.

Claims 1,5-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Claiborne '187.

Claiborne shows in figure 4 a "waffle" grating on a QWIP detector. Claims 1,5-12 and 14-17 are anticipated or obvious over Claiborne.

Applicant's arguments with respect to all of the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,523

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER